



Co-funded by the European Union's
Justice Programme (2014-2020)

P&P
RASMORAD



Methodology Suggested For The Questionnaire on Risk Assessment

Brussels September 11th-13th

Delphine VERHEYDE, Project Researcher
The Siracusa International Institute

Introduction

Benchmark definition :

“A set of possible risk assessment and management processes (qv), inputs (qv) and outputs” used as a reference to analyze the different risk assessment tools RASMORAD partners are implementing.

ENISA ad hoc working group on risk assessment and risk management, methodology for evaluating usage and comparison of risk assessment and risk management items

Introduction

Research Objectives:

- Design a benchmark
- Compare the different systems the partners are currently implementing to the benchmark
- Provide an accurate analysis according to a standard
- provide solution to the identified issues

Questionnaire

Item 1: P&P Services in all country partners should be using a tool/methodology to detect and assess radicalized inmates:

- Do P&P services use a system/tool for early detection of radicalization in prison/probation settings?
- What is its legal framework?
- Could you list what are the specific goals of the risks assessment tool?
 - What do you want to assess?
 - Who do you want to assess? (identify vulnerable inmates who have not commit a crime related to terrorism, monitor radicalized individuals already identified...)

Questionnaire

Item 1: P&P Services in all country partners should be using a tool/methodology to detect and assess radicalized inmates:

- Could you provide us with the questionnaire you are currently using?
- Does the risk assessment lead to inmates categorization? If yes, please explain the specific categories/profiles you work with.

Questionnaire

Item 2: Detection of radicalization should be reliable. The tool should take into consideration the different factors (root causes) of radicalization and should have a holistic approach of the inmate

- ✓ **Vulnerabilities:** (psychological aspect, psychiatric aspect, social aspect, religious aspect, political aspect, personal history, age, educational aspect....)
- ✓ **Protective factors/personal resources :**
(psychological aspect, psychiatric aspect, social aspect, religious aspect, political aspect, personal history, age, educational aspect...)
- ✓ **The sources of information** must be as diverse as possible (dynamic factors...)

Questionnaire

✓ Other:

- Does the risk assessment integrate a gender based approach ?
- Does the tool/methodology you implement allow to collect data regarding the following criteria
 - ❖ Ability to recruit other prisoners or possibility to be recruited by other inmates;
 - ❖ Ability to support extremist groups from prison;
 - ❖ Ability to get support from extremist groups outside prison;
 - ❖ Ability to prepare for violent extremist/ideological inspired illegal acts after release;
 - ❖ Hostility to other groups of prisoners and/or staff;
 - ❖ Becoming more radicalized because of grievances/frustrations/anger related to being in prison.

Questionnaire

ITEM 3 In case of potential radicalization, the risk assessment should go through a two-step procedure:

- ✓ **Item a: a risk assessment or screening should be implemented on admission**
- ✓ **Item b: practitioners implementing exhaustive radicalization risk assessment should be well trained**

Questionnaire

ITEM 3 In case of potential radicalization, the risk assessment should go through a two-step procedure:

- ✓ **Item c: Invest in, develop and offer general awareness training to all staff (the staff observing on a daily basis the inmates (wardens, social workers) should be aware of signs of radicalization and able to make a difference between radicalization and religious behavior)**
- ✓ **Item d: Identification of radicalized inmates should be updated on a regular basis, continuous cycles risk assessment avoid labelling**

Questionnaire

ITEM 3 In case of potential radicalization, the risk assessment should go through a two-step procedure:

✓ **Item a: Risk assessment should be implemented on admission:**

- Do P&P Services proceed to a systematic screening when an inmate enter detention?
- Who are the practitioners involved?
- If so, what kind of data is collected at that moment?
- How is organized the collection of data ?
- What agencies/departments participate to the screening?

Questionnaire

Item b: practitioners implementing radicalization assessment should be well trained:

- Who are the practitioners involved in risk assessment?
- What is the academic training required to be a risk assessment practitioner?
- What is the level of experience required to be an evaluator?
- Is the risk assessment implemented by a pluridisciplinary team?
- Do risk assessment practitioners have to participate to any kind of training? Could you specify the content of such trainings?

Questionnaire

- ✓ **Item c: Invest in, develop and offer general awareness training to all staff (the staff observing on a daily basis the inmates (P&P officers) should be aware of signs of radicalization and able to make a difference between radicalization and religious behavior)**
- Have P&P Services raised awareness among P&P Services regarding signs of radicalization?
- If so, would it be possible to communicate the content of the training?

Questionnaire

- ✓ **Item d: Continuous cycles of risk assessment avoid labelling and allow to make decisions according to accurate information**
- What is the frequency of inmates risk assessment ?
- Does the risk assessment depends on inmates categorization?
- How the new risk assessments are organized? (who realizes them?, what data is collected?, Could you provide the questionnaire implemented in such evaluation?)
- How do you communicate the status of the inmate to the inmate himself/herself?

Questionnaire

Item 4: Interagency communication and interagency cooperation should be effective and efficient:

- What are the departments/agencies currently involved in the implementation of risk assessment ?
- Where does come from the information processed in order to realize the risk assessment?
- What is the level of confidentiality of the decision making process of the evaluation?

Questionnaire

Item 5: practitioners carrying out risk assessment should be supported by peers and management

- Do P&P services provide support to practitioners carrying out risk assessment should be supported by peers and management?

Questionnaire

Item 6 the risk assessment tool/methodology should be evaluated through objective criteria:

- Have you already evaluate the impact of the risk assessment you implement?

THANK YOU

delphine.verheyde@isisc.org